

Discrete Logarithm Problem

Finite Fields

The finite field $GF(q)$ exists iff $q = p^e$ for some prime p .

Example: $GF(9)$

$$\begin{aligned} GF(9) &= \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3, i^2 = i + 1\} \\ &= \{0, 1, 2, i, 1+i, 2+i, 2i, 1+2i, 2+2i\} \end{aligned}$$

Addition: $(a + bi) + (c + di) := (a + c) + (b + d)i$

Multiplication: $(a + bi)(c + di) = ac + (ad + bc)i + bdi^2$
 $= (ac + bd) + (ad + bc + bd)i$

For instance,

$$(1 + 2i) + (1 + i) = 2 + 0i = 2$$

$$(1 + 2i)(1 + i) = (1 + 2) + (1 + 2 + 2)i = 2i$$

$$(1 + i)^2 = (1 + 1) + (1 + 1 + 1)i = 2$$

Finite Fields

Consider the powers of i :

$$i, i^2 = i+1, i^3 = i^2 + i = 2i + 1, i^4 = (i^2)^2 = (i+1)^2 = 2, \\ i^5 = (i^4)i = 2i, i^6 = (i^4)(i^2) = 2+2i, i^7 = 2 + i, i^8 = 1$$

An element of a field whose powers give all the non-zero elements of that field is called a *primitive element* of the field.

If F is a finite field, the set $F \setminus \{0\}$ of non-zero elements is denoted by F^* and is a (cyclic) group under multiplication. A primitive element of F is also called a *generator of F^** .

Not all the elements of F^* are primitive.

$$2, 2^2 = 1$$

$$i+1, (i+1)^2 = 2, (i+1)^3 = 2i + 2, (i+1)^4 = 1$$

Square and Multiply

Let $F = GF(q)$ and take α as a primitive element of F . Any $c \in F^*$ has a unique representation as $c = \alpha^m$, for $0 \leq m \leq q-1$. c can be computed from α and m with only $\lceil 2 \log_2 q \rceil$ multiplications. The binary representation of m gives the order of the needed multiplications, which consist only of squaring and multiplying by α . For instance, if $m = 171$ then $171 = 128 + 32 + 8 + 2 + 1 = (10101011)_2$ and the computation of α^{171} is carried out by starting with 1, then, working from the most significant bit down, we square the current value and if there is a 1 in the binary representation we also multiply by α . Thus,

$$\alpha^{171} = (((((((1)^2 \alpha)^2 \alpha)^2 \alpha)^2 \alpha)^2 \alpha)^2 \alpha.$$

Discrete Logarithm Problem

On the other hand, given c and α , finding m is a more difficult proposition and is called the *discrete logarithm problem*.

If taking a power is of $O(t)$ time, then finding a logarithm is of $O(2^{t/2})$ time. And this can be made prohibitively large if $t = \log_2 q$ is large.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

The difficulty of taking logarithms makes exponentiation in a finite field a one-way function (*not a trapdoor function however*). This can be used in a public key exchange protocol. Public knowledge is p , and α^{m_U} for each user U , while each user keeps secret their value of m_U . To exchange keys without transmission, A looks up B 's public key and exponentiates it with his own secret exponent. B does the same to A 's public key. Thus, each of them calculates the same key value $\alpha^{m_B m_A} \equiv \alpha^{m_A m_B} \bmod p$. There does not appear to be any means of obtaining this value without first finding one of the secret exponents ... i.e., solving the discrete logarithm problem for this q . Diffie & Hellman suggest using a value of p which is at least 100 bits long.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

One problem with this protocol is that there is only one key that the two users can use. A variation which permits different keys (called *session keys*) to be used is:

Public information: prime p and generator of Z_p α .

Protocol: A chooses a random secret exponent a , with $0 < a < p-1$.

A computes $\alpha^a \bmod p$ and sends this to B.

B chooses a random secret exponent b , with $0 < b < p-1$.

B computes $\alpha^b \bmod p$ and sends this to A.

A computes the key $K = (\alpha^b)^a \bmod p$ while

B computes the key $K = (\alpha^a)^b \bmod p$.

Man in the Middle Attack

A new problem arises with this variant.

If Oscar is capable of altering the messages between Alice and Bob (an *active* rather than *passive* adversary), then Oscar can change Alice's original α^a to his own α^c and similarly change Bob's original α^b to his own α^c . Now, all communication between Alice and Bob has to go through Oscar in order to be decrypted. That is, a message from Alice is decrypted by Oscar, possibly altered, and then encrypted by Oscar to send to Bob.

This man-in-the-middle attack can be thwarted by the use of a signature scheme. After receiving α^a from Alice, Bob sends the pair $(\alpha^b, \text{sig}_B(\alpha^a, \alpha^b))$ from which Alice can verify that α^b has not been altered from what Bob sent. Alice then sends $\text{sig}_A(\alpha^a, \alpha^b)$ to Bob so that he can verify the α^a that Alice originally sent.

El-Gamal Cryptosystem

For a prime p which is intractable (i.e., very large), let α be a generator of Z_p^* . Each user selects a secret element $a \in Z_{p-1}$ and makes public the value $\beta \equiv \alpha^a \pmod{p}$. Thus, α , β , and p are publicly known. To send a message, Alice randomly selects a secret $k \in Z_{p-1}$ and if x is the message, sends the ordered pair $(\alpha^k, x \beta^k) \pmod{p}$, where β is Bob's β . To decrypt, Bob raises the first component to his secret exponent a , finds the inverse mod p of this number, and multiplies the second component by this inverse to get the message back. This computation is,

$$(x \beta^k) (\alpha^{ka})^{-1} = x \beta^k (\beta^k)^{-1} \equiv x \pmod{p}.$$

Shank's Algorithm

This algorithm is known as a *Time-Memory Trade Off*, that is, if you have enough memory at your disposal you can use it to cut down the amount of time it would normally take to solve the problem.

Let p be a prime, α a generator of Z_p^* . We wish to find a , given β where $\beta \equiv \alpha^a \pmod{p}$. Let $m = \lceil \sqrt{p-1} \rceil$.

1: Compute $\alpha^{mj} \pmod{p}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$.

2: Sort the pairs $(j, \alpha^{mj} \pmod{p})$ by second coordinate in a list L_1 .

3: Compute $\beta\alpha^{-i} \pmod{p}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$.

4: Sort the pairs $(i, \beta\alpha^{-i} \pmod{p})$ by second coordinate in a list L_2 .

5: Find a pair in each list with the same second coordinate, i.e.,

$$(j, y) \in L_1 \text{ and } (i, y) \in L_2.$$

6: $a \equiv mj + i \pmod{p-1}$.

Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm

There are certain cases in which the discrete logarithm problem can be solved in less than $O(\sqrt{q})$ time, for instance when $q-1$ has only small prime divisors. An algorithm for dealing with this special case was developed in 1978. We first look at a special case:

Suppose that $q - 1 = 2^n$.

Let α be a primitive element in $GF(q)$. Noting that in this case, q is odd, we have $\alpha^{(q-1)/2} = -1$. Let m , $0 \leq m \leq q-2$, be the exponent of α that we wish to find, i.e. $c = \alpha^m$, and write m in its binary representation: $m = m_0 + m_1 2 + m_2 2^2 + \dots + m_{n-1} 2^{n-1}$. Now,

$$c^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (\alpha^m)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (\alpha^{m_0 + m_1 2 + \dots + m_{n-1} 2^{n-2}})^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = \alpha^{m_0 \frac{q-1}{2}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m_0 = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } m_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

So the evaluation of $c^{(q-1)/2}$ which costs at most $2 \lceil \log_2 q \rceil$ operations, yields m_0 .

Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm

We then determine $c_1 = c\alpha^{-m_0}$, and repeat the basic computation again to obtain m_1 .

$$c_1^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = \left(\alpha^{m_1 + m_2 2 + \dots + m_{n-1} 2^{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = \alpha^{m_1 \frac{q-1}{2}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m_1 = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } m_1 = 1 \end{cases}$$

This procedure can then be repeated until each of the m_i are obtained. The total number of operations is thus $n (2 \lceil \log_2 q \rceil + 2) \approx O((\log_2 q)^2)$.

The general case is dealt with by repeating the analogue of the special case for each of the prime factors of $q-1$ and then combining the results using the Chinese Remainder Theorem.